UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD043950 CLASSIFICATION CHANGES TO: unclassified FROM: confidential LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;

Administrative/Operational Use; AUG 1954. Other requests shall be referred to Defense Atomic Support Agency, Washington, DC.

AUTHORITY

31 aug 1966, DoDD 5200.10; dna ltr 15 mar 1977

rmed Services Technical Information Agency

ARLINGTON HALL STATION **ARLINGTON 12 VIRGINIA**

MICRO-CARD

CONTROL CHILY



WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ID FOR ANY PURPOSE OFHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED MENT FROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U.S. GOVERNMENT THERBY INCURS CONSUMERT, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE lave pormulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the CIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY on or streewise as in any mariner licensing the holder or any other OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RICHTS OF PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE. or gell any patement invention that may in any way be related thereto

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO UNCLASSIFIED

AUTHORITY OF ASTIA RECLASS. BULLETIN

Signed Relead & REEdy



Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report NS 081-001

AW-7

MATERIAL LABORATORY
NEW YORK NAVAL SHIPYARD
BROOKLYN 1, N. Y.

TECHNICAL REPORT

PROPERTY OF OASD (R&D) TECHNICAL LIBRARY

3ND-NYNS-900-P-11



MD-P870-2490

54AA 66156

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;

NOTICE: THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 and 794.

THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT REPORT

on

CRITICAL THERMAL ENERGIES

of

CURTAIN MATERIALS

Submitted by

THE WRIGHT AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Department of the Air Force

Lab. Project 5046-5, Part 62

Final Report

MS 081-001

27 August 1954
Technical Objective AW-7

A.FSWP-400

L. Banet, J. Bracciaventi

Optics and Nucleonics Branch J.M. NcCREEVI, Head

Superintending Engineer GEO. J. DASHEFSKI

The Director CAPTAIN A. B. JONES, Jr., USH

MAVAL MATERIAL LABORATORY

New York Naval Shippard

Brooklyn 1, New York

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report

ABSTRACT

For the purpose of evaluating the resistance of materials to the thermal radiation of atomic explosions, the critical thermal energies of several durtain materials, selected, prepared and submitted by the Wright Air Development Center, were determined. Several parameters of importance to the immediate problem were studied, including changes in irradiance, the effect of soiling and the fabric before exposure, the effect of cleaning following soiling, and the effect of previous exposure to approximately 75 per cent of the exposures required to obtain initial radiation effects, and the influence of the degree of bleaching of the fabrics. It was found that the critical thermal energies were greater for exposures at a rate of application of energy of 20 cal/cm2 sec than at a rate of application of 85 cal/ca²sec. In general, soiling caused a decrease in resistance to thermal radiation, although the silicone rubber on glass cloth showed the opposite trend. The effects of preexposure were only moderate. The 10 per cent bleaching process was most effective in increasing resistance to thermal radiation and the greatest resistance was found on the 14.7 es. cotton duck with this bleaching.

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pi	rge
AIMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION	4
INTRODUCTION	4
equipment and methods	4
RESULTS	5
INFLUENCE OF IRRADIANCE	5
EFFECTS OF COLLING	5
EFFECTS OF SOILING AND CLEANING	6
EFFECT OF PREVIOUS EXPOSURE TO RADIATION	6
EFFECT OF DIFFERENT DEGREES OF BLEACHING	7
CONCLUSIONS	7
BIBLIOGRAPHY	8
TABLE T Critical Thermal Energies of Aircraft Curtain Materials	ממ גו

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report

AIMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

- 1. This investigation was requested by Wright Air Development Center letter WCETT-1 of 1 March 1954 and constitutes part of the program initially proposed by Commander, New York Naval Shipyard, Confidential letter S99/L5, Ser 960-92 of 14 March 1950 and formally approved by Bureau of Ships speedletter S99(0)(348), Ser 348-75, of 6 April 1950. The general Thermal Radiation program at the Maval Material Laboratory is under the supervision of the Armed Forces Special Weapons Project.
- 2. The studies reported herein were planned and executed under the supervision of Tell Monahan, Head of the Optics Section.

INTRODUCTION

3. As part of its general program on the effects of the thermal radiation of atomic explosions, the Naval Material Laboratory is evaluating the characteristics, under exposure to intense thermal radiation, of the various materials of particular interest to the several agencies of the Department of Defense. As data become available, these findings are published. Reported below are the critical thermal emergics of curtain materials which were submitted by the Wright Air Development Center. These curtains are intended to be drawn across cockpit windows in order to shield aircraft personnel against the intense thermal radiation attending nuclear detenations.

EQUIPMENT AND METHODS

h. The critical thermal energies of the fabrics were determined, employing the Naval Material Laboratory carbon-arc source of thermal radiation. The source consists of an 11-mm carbon arc, mounted at the fecus of a reflector which collimates the smitted energy. A second mirror, which is mounted coaxially at a distance of twelve feet from the cellimator, condenses the radiation to the mirror's focus. Gradations of thermal damage are obtained by varying the effective exposure time by accelerating a lx8 inch specimen transversely through the focus. The carbon arc furnishes an irradiance of 85 cal/cm²sec. over a central area 2 mm in width. In the first phase of the investigation, designated as "Test Code A" by WADC, the five fabrics were also exposed at 20 and 12 cal/cm²sec, which were achieved through the use of attanuating screens. In the second and third phases of the research, "Test Codes B and C", four fabrics were exposed, after having been soiled artifically and after having been soiled and then cleaned. The soiling and cleaning experimente

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Firal Report

were conducted at Mright Air Development Center. In the fourth phase, "Test Code D", four fabrics were exposed after having been irradiated by a total energy approximately 75 per cent of that required for initial destruction effects. In the last phase, "Test Code E," one of the fabrics, the L4.7 oz cotton duck, was evaluated with six separate stages of bleaching (0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125 per cent) and at a rate of application of energy of 20, 42, and 85 cal/cm sec.

RESULTS

INFLUENCE OF IREADIANCE

- 5. The data of Table 1 indicate that for a rate of application of energy (irradiance) of 20 cal/cm²sec, greater exposures are required in general to cause destructive effects on the materials than for a rate of delivery of energy of 85 cal/cm²sec. It may be concluded that a given curtain material, with the exception of the vinyl-coated cotton, aluminized, will give adequate protection against high-yield nuclear weapons if it gives satisfactory protection against low-yield weapons, such as the "nominal" bomb.
- 6. During the exposures it was noted that the initial destructive effects are not similar for all irradiances. After charring, all the fabrics ignite, and with the exception of the cotton duck, flameproofed with Pyroset, support combustion after irradiation. The glass cloth emits dense smoke and large scoty particles during exposure. This material and the asbestos cloths are the most resistant to the initial effects of radiation.

EFFECTS OF SOILING

- 7. The experimental data on the effects of soiling are only qualitative, since it is impossible to control the degree of soiling, the amount and thickness of the soiling materials. In addition, it is impossible to obtain a homogeneous coating, even over the area of an individual specimen. Initial destructive effects under irradiation, were not readily discernible because of the soiling.
- 8. In 3 of the 4 cases, the critical thermal energies were lowered significantly by the soiling. The resistance of the fourth material, the silicone-rubber-on-glass cloth, was increased, but it was noted that the soil coating applied to these samples was heavier than that applied to the other specimens. That soiling may increase in some cases and in others decrease the thermal resistance of a material is not contradictory since the size of soil particles is critical and the effective color and absorptance of the material may be either increased or decreased.

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report

EFFECTS OF SOILING AND CLEANING

9. The initial destructive effects on the materials, first soiled and then cleaned prior to irradiation, with the exception of the vinyl-coated cotton, occurred at radiant exposures considerably lower than those for the corresponding new cloths. The destruction under irradiation of the asbeston and cetton duck materials, soiled and cleaned, occurred at lewer radiant exposures than for the corresponding new cloths, but at higher radiant exposures in the case of the silicone-rubber-on-glass-cloth specimens.

EFFECTS OF PREVIOUS EXPOSURE TO RADIATION

- 10. Twenty-four hours prior to the final exposures, the fabric specimens were conditioned at the Naval Material Laboratory by exposing them to the carbon-arc source of radiation at an irradiance of 85 cal/cm2sec. It has been recommended that the materials be exposed to a total flux approximately 75 per cent of the flux required to cause initial destructive effects on new materials. Because this procedure would involve complex and time-consuming instrumentation, the initial exposures were made at total flux values which could be obtained conveniently. The radiant exposures for the initial exposures and the critical radiant exposure values for the final exposures are given in Table 1.
- 11. The critical thermal energy for initial destructive effects on Asbeston previously irradiated by 8.0 cal/cm², is 8.8 cal/cm², in centrast with the critical radiant exposure of 18 cal/cm² for new Asbeston cloth. Following an initial radiant exposure of 10.0 cal/cm², it required 18 cal/cm² to char the Asbeston, the same total energy required to char new Asbeston.
- 12. The silicone-rubber-on-glass cleth required 18 cal/cm² to preduce initial destructive effects, even after a previous exposure of 9.0 cal/cm². The first exposures of the vinyl-coated cotten and the bleached cotten duck had negligible effect on the critical thermal energies of these materials.

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT DEGREES OF BLEACHING

13. The unbleached fabric was considerably less resistant than any of the bleached specimens. The several degrees of bleaching gave substantially the same amount of protection.

CONCLUSION

- 14. The results of this investigation are briefly summarized as follows:
 - a. The critical energy required to produce a specific damage on a curtain material increases if the irradiance decreases from 85 to 20 cal/cm²sec.
 - b. In general, soiling of curtain materials will cause a decrease of their resistance to thermal radiation. This is also true, although to a smaller extent, after cleaning of these materials. However, the silicone rubber fabric on glass cleth showed the apposite trend and emitted dense smeke and scoty paricles during exposure.
 - c. Of the investigated fabrics the greatest resistance to thermal radiation was shown by the untreated, lh.? ez., bleached cottom duck.
 - d. In most cases the effects of pre-exposure were only moderate.

Appreved:

.B. JOHES, OF

The Direct

bas

CONFIRMILAL

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Naval Material Laboratory, <u>Critical Thermal Energies of Clothing Materials</u>, <u>Submitted by the U.S. Marine Corps</u>. Report No. 50h6-3, Part 3, (July 1951).
- 2. Naval Material Laboratory, Critical Thermal Energies of Special Awming Materials, Submitted by the Bureau of Ships, Department of the Navy. Report No. 5046-3, Part 27 (Jan. 1953).
- 3. Naval Material Laberatory, <u>Critical Thermal Energies of Camery</u>
 Materials, <u>Submitted by the Wright Air Development Center</u>, <u>Department</u>
 of the Air Force. Lab. Project Report 50h6-3, Part 55 (Apr 195h).
- h. Naval Material Laboratory, Determination of Energy Distribution at the Focus of a Parabolic Mirror and the Energy Density on a Moving Surface, Using a Tungsten Lamp Source. Report No. 5046, Part 5, (July 1949).
- 5. Naval Material Laboratory, A Method of Measuring High Intensities at the Fecus of a Parabelic Reflector with Large Relative Aperture, Report No. 5046, Part 3 (November 1948).

Material Laboratory

CONFIDENTIAL

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report Sheet 1 of h

Table I

Critical Thermal Energies of Curtain Materials

	WADC Mat'l		R T		tical Energie (cal/cm ²)	
Test Code	Pesig- nation	Material	Description of Effect	At H= 85 cal/cm ² /sec	At H= 42.5 cal/cm ² /sec	cal/cm2/sec
5	I	Asbeston, MIL-C-8240	Initial Effect	18.0		-
		Type I	Charring	19.0	42.0	60.0
			Burned through, destroyed	ы.0	66.0	80.0
à	п	Silicens Rubber on	Initial Effect	21.0	22.0	31.0
		Glass Cloth Ho. 126 (Chemical Rubber Co. Style 7007)	Rubber coating destroyed	49 . 0	69.0	75.0
A	III	Winyl Coated Cot-	Initial Effect	3.0	3.6	b-7
		bezizimula est	Charring	31.0	23.0 42.0	30.0
*		MIL-C-76h2, Type I	Burned through, destroyed	h2.0	42.0	£1.0
A	IV	Bleached Cetten	Daitisi Effect			61.0 56.0
		Duck, Preshrunk, CCC-B-771, Type III,	Speradic Char- ring	6.8	13.0	56.0
		lk. ?or. Pyreset TO Treatment	Regular Char- ring	21.0	ს հ•0	80.0
			Charred through, destroyed	<u>4</u> 2.0	j <u>ū</u> i•C	80.0
A		MPO White Vinyl on	Initial Effect	7.4	9.0	18,0
		1.32cz sateen (Landers Corp.)	Sporadic Char- ring	7.5	17.0	37.0
		-	Regular Char- ring	20.0	31.0	64.0
		E III	Charred through, destroyed	46.0	1 ₈ .0	80.0
A	Ъ	White Vinyl Costed	Initial Effect	7.0	9.0	11.0
~	1	en Mylen Fiberthin	Cherring	21.0	22.0	17-0
	į.	(Landers Corp.)	Melted through	36.0	37=0	47. 0

Material Laboratory

CONFIDENTIAL

Lab. Preject 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report Sheet 2 of 4

Critical Thermal Energies of Curtain Materials

	WADC Mat'l			Cr	itical Emergi	.0.0
Test Code	Desig- nation	Material	Descrip en ef Effect	At H= 85 cal/cm ² /sec	At H= 42.5 cal/cm ² /sec	At H= 20 cal/cm ² /sec
В	I	Asbesten, MIL-C- 82hO, Type I (Seiled)	Charring Burned through, destreyed	6.9 23.0		
В	II	Silicome Rubber on Glass Cleth Ne. 126 (Chemical Rubber Co., Style 7007)(Soiled)	Rubber coating destrayed	5ધ.0		
В	ш	Vinyl Ceated Cetton Aluminized, MIL-C- 7642, Type I(Soiled)	Charring Burned through, destroyed	27.0 39.0		
В	IV	Bleached Cotton Duck, Preshrunk, CCC-D-771,	Sporadic Char- ring	1.4		
_		Type III, 14.7 ez., Pyreset DO Treatment	Regular Char- ring	6.8		
		(Soiled)	Charred through destroyed	28.0		
C	I	Asbeston, MIL-C-8240, Type I (Soiled and	Initial Effect Charring	1.4 12.0		
		Cleaned)	Burned through, destroyed	32.0		
С	п	Silicone Rubber on Class Cleth No. 126	Initial Effect	14.0 77.0		
		(Chemical Rubber Co. Style 7007)(Soiled and Clamed)	Rubber Coating, - destroyed	,,,,,		
C	III	Vinyl Coated Cotton,	Initial Effect	3 . G		
		Aluminized, MIL-C- 76h2, Type I, (Soil- ed and Cleaned)	Charring Burned through, destroyed	21.0 42.0	,	

Material Laboratory
CONFIDENTIAL

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report Sheet 3 of 4

Critical Thermal Energies of Curtain Materials

	WADC Mat'l					cal/c	1 2)	
Test Code	Desig- nation	Material.	Description of Effect	At He cal/ca	2/sec	At He	2/8ec	cal/ca2/sec
Ç	IV	Bleached Cotton Duck, Preshrunk, CCC-D-771, Type III, 14.7 ez., Pyroset DO Treatment (Soiled and Cleaned)	Sporadic Char- ring Regular Char- ring Charred through, destroyed	1.5 6.6 24.6	3 ·			
Test Code	WATC Mat'l Designation	M ater ial	Description of E	ffect	Pro- Expo (cal		C.E.	
D	I	Asbeston, MIL-C-8210, Type I	Initial Effect Charring			.8 .0	3.8 18.0	
D	II	Silicone Rubber on Glass Cloth No. 126 (Chemical Rubber Co. Style 7007)	Initial Effect		9	.0	18.0	
Đ	III	Vinyl coated cotton, aluminized, MIL-C- 7642, Type I	Initial Effect		1	.9	3.8	
D	IV	Bleached Cotton Duck, Preshrunk, CCC-D-771, Type III, lk.7 oz. Pyroset DC Treatment	Sporadic Charring			- 7.8 -10.0	7.5 19.0	

Material Laboratory

Lab. Project 5046-3, Part 62 Final Report Sheet 4 of 4

Critical Thermal Energies of Curtain Materials

	WADC Mat'l				1	Energies (cal	
Test Code	Dusig- nation	Material	Bleach	Description of Effect	At H = 85 cal/cm ² /sec	At H = 42.5 cal/cm ² /sec	At H = 20 cal/cm ² /sec
E	V	Cotton Duck,		Charring	11.0	42.0	48.0
		Preshrunk, CCG-D-771, Type III,	0	Burned through, destroyed	43.0	66.0	75.0
		M.7 62.		Charring	34.0	62.0	66.0
			ජ	Burned through, destroyed		74.0	110.0
				Charring	39.0	62.0	69.0
			50	Burned through, destroyed		74.0	119.0
			.) 40	Charring	27.0	5540	63.0
			75	Burned through destroyed	h7.0	75.0	82.0
				Charring	31.0	6h.0	72.0
		× 3	1.00	Burned through destreyed	58.0	81.0	118.0
	İ			Charring	31-0	55-0	58,0
			125	Burned through destroyed	55.0	74.0	79.0
				*			

DISTRIBUTION LIST No. 100 Thermal Radiation Reports (Research)

	(necoar air)	8 December 1953
ADDRESSEE	ARMY	No. of Cys
Asst Chief of Staff, G-3, D/A ATTN: DCS/G-3 (RR&SW)	A, Washington 25, D.C.	1
Asst Chief of Staff, G-4, D/A	A, Washington 25, D.C.	1
Chief of Ordnance, D/A, Wash	ington 25, D.C., ATTN: ORDTX-AR	1
Chief Signal Officer, D/A, P	%O Div, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN:	s SIGOP 3
The Surgeon General, D/A, Was Med R&D Board	shington 25, D.C., ATTN: Chairman	ì, 1
Chief Chemical Officer, N/A,	Washington 25, D.C.	2
Office of the Chief of Engine	eers, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: E	ENGNB 3
The Quartermaster General, Conversion Washington 25, D.C.	BR, Liaison Office, R&D Div,D/A,	2
Chief of Transportation, Mil: Washington 25, D.C.	itary Planning & Intelligence Div	1
Chief, Army Field Forces, Ft	Monroe, Va.	4
President, Board. #1, OCAFF,	Ft Bragg, NC	1
President, Board #4, OCAFF,	Ft Bliss, Texas	1
Commander-in-Chief, FECOM, AI ATTN: ACOFS J-3	PO 500, c/o PM San Francisco, Cal	lif.
Commandant, Command & General ATTN: ALLIS (AS)	l Staff College, Ft Leavenworth,	Kansas
Commanding General, Medical Medical Center, Ft. San H	Field Service School, Brooke Arrouston, Texas	ny 1
Director, Special Weapons De	velopment Office, OCAFF, Ft Bliss	s, Tex 1
Commandant, Army Medical Ser Medical Center, Washington	vice Graduate School, Walter Reed in 25, D.C., ATTN: Dept of Biophys	d Army sics 1
Commandant, Chemical Corps S Ft McClellan, Ala.	chool, Chemical Corps Training C	ommand,

ADDRESSEE ARTY	No. of tys
Commanding Ceneral, Research & Engineering Command, Army Chemical Center, Md., ATTN: Special Projects Officer	2
RD Control Officer, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Md., ATTN: Director Ballistics Research Laboratory	2
Commanding General, The Engineer Center, Ft Belvoir, Va., ATTN: Asst.Commandant, Eng School	3
Commanding Officer, Engineer Research & Development Laboratory, Ft. Belvoir, Va., ATTN: Chief, Tech Intelligence Branch	ı
Commanding Officer, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, New Jersey, ATTN: ORDBB-TK	1
Commanding Officer, Frankford Arsenal, Philadelphia 37, Pa., ATTN: RD Control Officer	1
Commanding Officer, Army Medical Research Laboratory, Ft Knox, Ky	. 1
Commanding Officer, Chemical Corps Chemical & Radiological Lab., Army Chemical Center, Md., ATTN: Tech Library	2
Commanding Officer, Transportation R&D Station, Ft Eustis, Va.	1
Director, Technical Documents Center, Evans Signal Laboratory, Belmar, N.J.	ı
Director, Waterways Experiment Station, PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mi ATTN: Library	iss.
Director, Operations Research Office, Johns Hopkins University, 6410 Connecticut Ave., Chevy Chase, Md., ATTN: Library	1
Chief, QM R&D Lab., Philadelphia QM Depot, 2800 S. 20th St., Philadelphia 45, Pa., ATTN: Mr. John Davies	1
NAVY	
Chief of Naval Operations, D/N, Washington 25, D.C., ATTN: OP-36	1
Chief of Naval Operations, D/N, Washington 25, D.C., ATTN: OP-371	1(OEG) 1.
Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, D/N, Washington 25, D.C., ATTN: Special Weapons Defense Division	1
Chief, Bureau of Ordnance, D/N, Washington 25, D.C.	1
Chief of Naval Personnel, D/N, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: Pers C	1
Chief, Bureau of Ships, D/N, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: Code 348	2

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (CONT) ADDRESSEE HAVY . No. of	Cys
Chief, Bureau of Supplies & Accounts, D/N, Washington 25, D.C.	1
Chief, Bureau of Yards & Docks, D/N, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: P-312	'l
Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics, D/N, Washington 25, D.C.	2
Chief of Naval Research, Code 219, Rm 1807, Bldg T-3, Washington 25, D.C., ATTN: RD Control Officer	ı
Commander-in-Chief, US Pacific Fleet, Fleet Post Office, San Francisco, California	1
Commander-in-Chief, US Atlantic Fleet, US Naval Base, Norfolk 11, Va	1
Commandant, United States Marine Corps, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: CodeAO3H	14
President, US Naval War College, Newport, Rhode Island	1
Superintendent, US Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Calif	1
Commanding Officer, US Naval Schools Command, US Naval Station Treasure Island, San Francisco, Calif	2
Commanding Officer, US Fleet Training Center, Naval Base, Norfolk 11, Va. ATTN: Special Weapons School	2
Commanding Officer, US Fleet Training Center, Naval Station, San Diego 36, Calif, ATTN: (SPWP School)	2
Commanding Officer, Air Development Squadron 5, VX-5, US Naval Air Station, Moffett Field, Calif	1
Commanding Officer, US Naval Damage Control Training Center, Naval Base, Philadelphia 12, Pa., ATTN: ABC Defense Course	1
Commanding Officer, US Naval Unit, Chemical Corps School, Army Chemical Training Center, Ft McClellan, Ala	1
Commander, US Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md, Silver Spring 19, Md., ATTN: EE R	.1
Commander, US Naval Ordnance Test Station, Inyokern, China Lake, Calif	1
Commanding Officer, US Naval Medical Research Institute, National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda 14, Md.	1
Director, US Naval Research Laboratory, Washington 25, D.C.	3.

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (CONT) ADDRESSEE NAVY No. of	Cys
Director, The Material Laboratory, New York Naval Shipyard, Brooklyn, New York	1
Commanding Officer, & Director, US Naval Electronics Lab., San Diego, Calif, ATTN: Code 4223	1
Commanding Officer, US Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, San Francisco 24, Calif., ATTN: Tech. Info Div	3
Commander, US Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville, Pa	1
Director, Office of Naval Research Branch Office, 1000 Geary St., San Francisco, California	2
Officer-in-Charge, US Naval Clothing Factory, US Naval Supply Activities New York, 3rd Ave., & 29th St., Brooklyn 32, N.Y. ATTN: R&D Div	1
Commanding Officer, Naval Medical Field Research Laboratory, Camp Lejeune, NC	1
AIR FORCE	
Asst for Atomic Energy, Hqs, USAF, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: DCS/O	1
Director of Operations, Hqs, USAF, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: Operations Analysis	1
Director of Plans, Hos, USAF, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: War Plans	1
Directorate of Requirements, Hqs, USAF, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: AFDRQ-SA/M	1
Directorate of Research & Development, Armament Division, DCS/D, Hqs, USAF, Washington 25, D.C.	1
Directorate of Intelligence, Hos, USAF, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN:AFOIN-1B2	2
The Surgeon General, Hqs, USAF, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: Bio. Def. Br., Pre. Med. Div.	ı
Commander, Strategic Air Command, Offutt AFB, Omaha Neb, ATTN: Chief Operations Analysis	1
Commander, Tactical Air Command, Langley AFB, Va., ATTN: Doc Sec Br	1
Commander, Air Defense Command, Ent AFB, Colorado	1
Commander, Air Materiel Command, Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio, ATTNP MCAIDS	2

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (CONT) ADDRESSEE AIR FORCE No.	of Cys.
Commander, Air Training Command, Scott AFB, Belleville, Ill. ATTN: DCS/O GTP	1
Commander, Air Research & Development Command, PO Box 1395, Esltimore, Md., ATTN: RDDN	.3
Commander, Air Proving Ground Command, Eglin AFB, Fla., ATTN: AG/TRB	1
Commander, Air University, Maxwell AFB, Alabama	2
Commander, Flying Training Air Force, Waco, Texas, ATTN: Dir of Observer Training	2
Commander, Crew Training Air Force, Randolph AFB, Randolph Field, Texas, ATTN: 2GTS, DCS/O	1
Commander, Headquarters Technical Training Air Force, Gulfport, Miss. ATTN: TA & D	1
Commander, Air Force School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph AFB, Tex	2
Commander, Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio, ATTN: WCOESP	1,
Commander, AF Cambridge Research Center, 230 Albany Street, Cambridge 39, Mass., ATTN: Atomic Warefare Directorate CRUK, Geophysics Research Directorate	1
Commander, AF Special Weapons Center, Kirtland AFB, N.M. ATTN: Tech Library	3
Commander, USAF Institute of Technology, Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio ATTN: Resident College	1
Commander, L. wry AFB, Denver, Colo. ATTN: Dept of Armament Tng.	1
OTHER DOD ACTIVITIES	
Director, Weapons Systems Evaluation Group, OSD, Rm 2E1006, Pentagon, Washington 25, D.C.	1
United States National Military Representative, Headquarters, SHAPE, APO 55, c/o PM New York, N.Y. ATTN: Col. J.P. Healy	. 1
Asst. Secretary of Defense for Research and Development, DOD, Washington 25, D.C. ATTN: Tech Library	1
Commandant, Armed Forces Staff College, Norfolk 11, Va.ATTN: Secy	1
Commanding General, Field Command, AFSWP, PO Box 5100, Albuquerque, N.	M. 6

DISTRIBUTION LIST NO. 100 (CONT) ADDRESSEE	OTHER DOD ACTIVITIES	No.	of Cys
Chief, AFSMT, PO Fox 2610, Washing	ton 13, D.C.		15
<u>P.</u>	anel on thermal radiation		
Dr. H. C. Hottel, Mass. Institute	of Technology, Cambridge, Mas	В.	1
Dr. E. O. Hulburt, Director Naval I	Research Laboratory, Washingto	on 2 5,	DC 1
Dr. H. E. Pearse, Strong Memorial I University of Rochester 7, N.Y.	Hospital, 260 Crittenden Blvd	′,	1
Dr. J. D. Hardy, Aviation Medical Air Development Center, Johnsvill		1	1
	OTHERS		
Dr. E. F. Cox, Applied Physics Div	, Sandia Corp, Albuquerque, N	.M.	1
Dr. Alvin C. Graves, Los Alamos Sc	ientific Lab. Box 1663, Los A	lamos,	N.M.
Director, Los Alemos Scientific La ATTN: Reports Library	b., PO Box 1663, Los Alamos,	N.M.	1
Engineering Research, University o Village Station, Los Angeles 24,		stwood	1
Dr. William T. Ham Jr., Medical Co	llege of Virginia, Richmond,	٧a.	1
California Forest and Range Experim PO Box 245, Berkeley, Calif. ATT			rch 1
Prof. G.C. Williams, Dept. of Cml. Cambridge, Mass.	Eng., Mass. Institute of Tec	hnolog	y ,
Mr. H. D. Bruce, Forest Products L	ab., No. Walnut St., Madison	5, W16	sc. 1
Mr. A.A. Brown, Chief, Fire Resear Washington 25, D.C.	ch Div., Forest Service, USDA,		1
Technical Operations Inc., 6 Schou ATTN: Dr. Frederick C. Henriques		109	1

11/2

Mary Mortial Liberatory, Now York Moyal Bhippard. 5946.5, Part 62 Bhippard. 5946.5, Part 62 Trespending Dryll Church CH. CHITCOL MENNAM. IN. Bracelava. J. Bracelava. J. Bracelava. J. Bracelava. J. Bracelava. CAUTHENITAL CAUTHENITAL CAUTHENITAL CAUTHENITAL CAUTHENITAL	1. Tentiles effects of radiation I place; L. II. Base; L. IX. MESCACO IV. AFSE-400	Naval Material Laboratory. New York Naval. Shippind. 1966-3, Part #2 BENEGOT MD DEVELORMENT ON CHITICAL TREMAL BENEGOT MD DEVELORMENT ON CHITICAL TREMAL BENEGOT MD DEVELORMENT ON CHITICAL TREMAL BENEGOT MD DEVELORMENT ON CHITICAL Benegot and J. Breecistymti. Final Report. 2 Sept. 1954 5 p. Tables CONTINUENTAL	1. Tertites effects of radiation I. Banet, L. III. Bracciaventi, J. III. NS 081-001
Critical thormal energy values of curtain materials for airplanes, submitted by the Wright Air Development Center were evaluated by expessing them to the Carbon are source. The influence of changes in laradiance and degrees of bleaching of a cotton labric were determined. Measurements were performed to both, ertilicially solide and soiled and cleaned fabrics. In addition, the influence of previous destanined.	CONTINENTAL	Critical thermal energy velues of curtain materials for eirplanes, submitted by the Wright Air Development Center were evaluated by aspecial, them to the carbon are seerce. The influence's d'Shanges in irradiance and degrees of bleaching of a cotton fabric were determined. Mesenrements were performed on both ertificiality soiled and soiled and cleaned febrics. In addition, the influence of previous radiation exposures upon critical energy velues was determined.	CONTINENTAL
	(3) (2) (3)		
	of radiction Manact. I. Braccirranti. J. NS 091-001	- 04 5	of radiction (. Benet, L. II. Braccleventi, J. III. #8 081-001
Critical thornel energy values of curtain materiels for sirplanee, submitted by the Wright Air Development Conter were evaluated by asposing them to the cerben are source. The influence of change in firstlance and degrees of bianghing of a cotton fabric were determined. Measurements mere performed on both, artificially selled and soiled and clushed fabrics. In addition, the influence of previous		Critical thermal amengy values of curtain materials for airplanes, submitted by the Wright dir Development Center were evalueted by exposing them to the cerben are source. The influence of changes in Arradiance and degrees of bleaching of a cotton fabric were determined. Mesurements were performed on both, a tilicially soiled and soiled and cleaned fabrices. In addition, the influence of previous radiation, amounts upon critical energy values was radiation.	
COMMITTE	Dental.	deturnined.	CONTINENTIAL

Navel Material Lehotatory. Mew York Navel Shippard. 5046-3, Part 63 MEMBATA AND INVESTMENT ON CHITCH THEMAL. BENEGIES OF CHEMAN MATERIALS by L. Banet and J. Rescrieventi. Final Report. 2 Sept. 1954 8 p. Tables COURTINIAL	I. Taxtiles - effects of radiation I. Benet, L. III. Bracel avanki, J. III. 188 081-001 IV. ArstP. 400	Nevel Material Laboratory. New York Mayel. Shippard 5046-3, Part 62 SHEGARY AND INVESTMENT CN CTITICAL INCHALL HORSTING OF CHITAIN MAINIMALS by L. Banet and J. Brecalayoutl. Final Report. 2 Sept. 1954 5 p. Tables CHITAIN CHITAIN PROPERTY.	i. Textifes - effects of radiation I. Senet, L. II. Braccieventi, J. III. NS 081-001 IV. APSU-406
Critical thermal energy values of curtain materials for airplance, submitted by the Wright Air Devalopment Center were evaluated by supering them to the carbon are source. The influence of changes in irradiance and degrees of Meaching of a cotton febrie were datamined. Meantriemfts were performed on both, artificially soiled and sulled and cleaned fabrics. In addition, the influence of previous redisting apagemes upon critical energy values was detectioned.		Critical thermal energy values of curtain materials for airplanes, submitted by the Wright Air Development Center were evaluated by expensing them to the carbon arc source. The influence of themses in irradiance and degrees of blacching of a cotton fabric were determined. Measurement were performed on both, artificially soiled and soiled and clemed fabrics. In addition, the influence of previous radiation exponence upon critical energy velues was determined.	
	CONTINENTIAL		
		Meval Materiel Leboratory. New York Naval Shipperd. 5046-3, Pert 62 ENERANCH AND DEVELOPMENT ON CRITICAL TREMAN. ENGAGES OF CHERAIN MATERIALS by L. Benet and J. Bracciaventi. Finel Maport. 2 Sept. 1954	1. Tratiles - effects of radistion E. Manet. II. Nesceieventi, J. III. Nes 681-001
		B p. Tables Critical thermal snergy velues of curtain saterble for sirplement, without the Wright 41 Develue for sirplement Center sere evaluated by the Wright 41 Develue sent Center sere evaluated by apposing them to the cerbon are source. The influence of themges in irradiance and degrees of blacching of a cottem fabric were determined. Messurements were performed on both, artificially solled and solled and cleaned fabrics. In addition, the influence of previous radiation exposures upon critical energy values was determined.	CONTINUE CONTINUE

A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF